LATERAL ACCESS SURGERY **EXPANDED APPLICATIONS**

Kevin N. Ammar, MD

Neurological Institute of Savannah and Center for Spine Savannah, GA

Disclosures

Nuvasive consultant





SNS 2008

MINIMALLY INVASIVE PERCUTANEOUS MULTILEVEL **360 DEGREE FUSION FOR LUMBAR DEGENERATIVE** SCOLIOSIS - A FEASIBILITY STUDY

Neel Anand, MD, Ty Thaiyananthan, MD, Kevin Khalsa, BS, Eli M. Baron, MD, Kevin N. Ammar, MD



First Case '08

- 39 yo female
- Back / leg pain
- Progressive deformity









Advantages

- No dissection of large vessels
- No dissection of dorsal spinal musculature
- Lateral annular release
- Possible ALL release
- Large graft footprint
- Indirect decompression
- Less invasive thoracic / thoracolumbar access
- Virgin corridor for revision



What's new?

- Retractor technology
 - Lighting
 - Strength
- Neuromonitoring sophistication
- Biologics / Implants
- No access surgeon

Potential Applications

Neural	Compromise
	Foraminal narrowing
	Lateral recess stenosis
	Degenerative spondylolisthesis (Gr 1 /2)
	Spondylolysis
	Thoracic disc herniations
	Adjacent segment issues
Instabi	ity
	Traumatic
	Tumor
	 Corpectomy
	Post-laminectomy deformity
Deform	ity
	Kyphosis
	Scoliosis
Axial B	ack Pain
	Degenerative disc disease
Ventral	intradural tumors
Arthrop	lasty

Case Examples

- Lumbar
- Revision
- Thoracic
- Thoracolumbar junction
- Deformity
- Arthroplasty
- Ventral intradural tumors























Degenerative Spondylolisthesis

Hospital Course

- Minimal EBL
- Ambulatory day of surgery
- Home POD 1

DegenerativeSpondylolisthesis 3 months post-op

Indirect decompression

Post

REVISION

Virgin corridor Large surface area

TLIF revision

- 42 yo female
- Remote L5-S1 fusion
- L4-5 TLIF for HNP

Revision – why lateral?

- Avoid hazards of posterior revision
- Substantial interbody graft
- Minimize blood loss, tissue retraction
- Quicker recovery

Revision

Revision

- 30 minute procedure
- <25 ml EBL</p>
- Home on POD1
- Resolved LE pain

Adjacent Level Disease

- 74 yo female
- L4-5 fusion 90's
- 7 month h/o progressive back pain Pain into hips, no LE painWheelchair bound
- L3-4 mobile Grade 1
- spondy
- CAD, HTN, + Coumadin
- Claudication / moderate stenosis
 - Amenable to indirect decompression

Adjacent Level Disease 6 month f/u

Thoracic disc T10-11

Tumor/Pathologic Fracture

- 47 yo female
- Progressive paraparesis
- Progressive upper thoracic pain
- Exam:
 - Large uterine mass
- LE weakness
- W/u otherwise negative for primary malignancy
- W/u negative for infection

Transthoracic Approach

- Incise along rib ALL to PLL VB outline
- Rib dissection Preserve neurovascular bundle
- Rib resection
- Pleural cavity entry using blunt dissection

Tumor/Path Fx

- Pathology:
 Endometrial
- adenocarcinoma

 Inpatient rehab
- Chemo and XRT
- Removal of uterine mass

Tumor/Path Fx 6 Month f/u

Minimal painAmbulatory

24 yo male

- MVA
- T12-L1 fracture / dislocation
- Complete SCI

Adult Scoliosis

- Type 1: Primary degenerative scoliosis (de novo scoliosis)
 - Engendered by deterioration of spinal discs and/or facet joint degeneration.
- Type 2: Idiopathic adolescent scoliosis of the thoracic/lumbar spine which has progressed into adulthood.
- *Type 3*: Secondary degenerative scoliosis
 - (a) Scoliosis following idiopathic or other forms of scoliosis, or has occurred due to a leg length discrepancy that has created pelvic obliquity, hip pathology, it lumbosacral transitional anomaly.
 (b) Scoliosis secondary to a metabolic bone disease, along with asymmetric arthritis disease and vertebral fractures

360° Percutaneous Fusion

Subsequent L5-S1 degeneration

Anterior Elongation

Photos courtesy of: Greg Mundis, MD

Ventral intradural tumors

J Neurosurg Spine 15:28-37, 2011

Surgical management of ventral intradural spinal lesions

PETER D. ANGEVINE, M.D., M.P.H., CHRISTOPHER KELLNER, M.D., RAGEER M. HAGUE, M.D., AND PAUL C. MCCORNICK, M.D., M.P.H. Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, Department of Neurological Surgery, New York, New York

Conclusion

- An additional approach option with some unique & valuable attributes
- Variety of potential applications
- Expands the thought process with which we approach complex spine issues

Clinical Literature

Spine Journal December, 2010 MIS FOCUS

- INDEX OF TOPICS COVERED:
- MIS Definition
- Anesthesia Considerations
 Anatomical Considerations
- MIS Decompression
- MIS TLIF
- Economics of MIS
- MIS Lateral Review (XLIF)
- XLIF Indirect Decompression
- XLIF Adult Scoliosis Study
- MIS Adult Deformity (XLIF)
 MIS Tumor Resection (XLIF)
- MIS TUMOr Resection (ALIF)
 MIS Trauma (XLIF)
- MIS Trauma (XLIF)
 Neuromonitoring
- Neuromonitoring
- Octogenarians (XLIF v PLIF)
- Biomechanics

XLIF® Fusion Rates Literature Results		XLIF* Complications Literature Results	
Youssef & McAfee, et al. <i>Spine</i> 2010 • Fusion rates in peer-reviewed literature range from 80% to 100% • Average: 94.9%	Minimally Invasive Surgery: Lateral Approach Interbody Fusion	Youssef & McAfee, et al. <i>Spine</i> 2010 Review of lateral approach literature (14 pee articles) 	Minimally Invasive Sargery: Lateral Approach Interbody Fusion Readed and Rever for Name 11 (1996) (1997) (1997) (1997) In the R. Y. Territ Matters B. W. Miller Latera A Pays MS, 1007) In the R. Y. Territ Matters, R.V. Interbook, R.V. at Inter Mark, MF re-reviewed
 Rodgers et al, SAS J 2010 66 patients treated with 1-3 level XLIF Local autograft + DBM + cancellous allograft, and iliac crest BMA 	Readmand Nerice and Standard Kerk Affeld Matters 10, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100,	 Included Degenerative (8) and Deformity Minor complications: 6.7% - 20% Major complications: 0% - 8.6% Transient thigh symptoms: 8.6% - 30.4% Two outliers reporting 60.1% and 75% 	(6) papers
 Prospective, computed tomography study at 12 months 97% fusion rate 		 Small samples (8 and 28 cases) Early experience Not all XLIF, technique consideratio Reoperations: 0% - 4.3% 	ns

Postoperative Period

What to Expect

- Patients typically walk same day; discharged next day
- Expected side effects:
 - 8-10% psoas weakness (resolves within 1-2 weeks)
 - 3-5% anterior thigh numbness (resolves within 1-2 weeks)
 - 0.5% thigh pain / dysesthesia (takes longer to resolve: 2-3 mos)
- To mitigate side effects, avoid
 Wanding too much
- Poor patient positioning
- Bleeding in psoas
- > Use of monopolar cautery
- Recommended treatment > Post-op exercises
 - > Neurontin (~)
 - > Imipramine or other anti-
 - depressant

• Total perioperative savings of 9.6%, \$2,563/patient

XLIF® Outcomes Indirect Decompression

A Radiographic Assessment of the Ability of Extreme Lateral Interbody Fusion Procedur Indirectly Decompress the Neural Elements

Lun Marth, Mic.* Envolds Ga

• Oliveira, et al. Spine 2010

Prospective Study

- Consecutive Series of 20 Patients
- Inclusion Criteria: DDD with Central and/or Lateral Stenosis
- · Clinical Outcomes: VAS, ODI, ZCQ, Treatment Intensity Score
- Images: X-Ray (AP, Lateral, Flexion, Extension) and MRI
- Follow-up: Preop, POP Early, and 3 months

Statistical Analysis

Editorial

 "Minimally invasive or mini-open approaches are likely to have the greatest potential benefit when the approach is the main source of morbidity."

-Peter Angevine, MD, MPH, and Paul McCormick, MD, MPH
 JNS: Spine editorial, March 2012

- Rib head removal
- Standard discectomy performed before PLL resection

